School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2016/17 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School: Shun Lee Catholic Secondary School

Staff-in-charge: Koong Tak Man Contact Telephone No.: 23893082

I) The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is <u>568</u>

(including A. 123 CSSA recipients; B. <u>375 SFAS</u> full-grant recipients and C. <u>70 under school's discretionary quota</u>).

II) Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

	*Name / Type of activity	pa	ctual no rticipat eligible student	ing :	Average attendance rate	Period/ Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)		Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
		A	В	С		neid				applicable)	
1.	Writing Workshop for S1-3	4	13	0	100%	July 2017	2550	•	Student Survey and Teachers' observation of	Synergy Education Co. Ltd	
2.	Writing Workshop for S5	1	6	0	100%	August 2017	1400	•	Teachers' observation of students' written output	Alumni	
3.	HKDSE Speaking Exam Practice for S5	/	/	/	NA	NA	0		NA	NA	Programme Cancelled
4.	HKDSE Speaking Exam Practice for S6	/	/	/	100%	May2017	0	•	HKDSE Result	Alumni	Not able to refund
5.	Speaking Workshop for S1 – S3	10	15	4	100%	July 2017	1620	•	Teachers' observation of students' verbal	Alumni	
6.	Maths Remedial Class for S1-S3	12	35	8	98%	July 2017	10200	•	Individual Interview	Alumni	
7.	Mathematics Remedial Classes in summer holiday	13	37	7	98%	Oct 2016 – May 2017	1651	•	Test Individual Interview	Alumni	
8.	Mathematics Remedial Classes after school	5	13	3	98%	Sept 2016 – May 2017	9025	•	Questionnaire Individual Interview	Alumni	

9. International Competition and Assessments for Schools – Mathematics	33	112	12	98%	March 2017	7575	Assessment Report	ICAS Hong Kong Office	
10. Musical instrument classes	8	43	7	80%	Sept 2016 - Aug 2017	57360	Questionnaire, ABRSM exam	Central Arts	
11. Musical Festivals	3	6	1	85%	March 2017	1995	 The result, the number of distinction and merit 	Hong Kong Schools n Music and Speech Association	
12. S1 Saturday Tutorial Class	10	23	1	95%	Oct 2016 - June 2017	31320	 Questionnaire, subject teachers and tutors observation 	Alumni	 After the first term, 24 students out of 36 passed in their Math, Science and IH in the first term examination and did not need to attend the class in the second term. In the second term, 7 out of 28 participants passed in all three subjects and 4 students failed in one of the three subjects. Over 85% of the participants agreed that the tutorial class could help them learn Math, Science and IH. Nearly 90% of the participants agreed that the tutors could help them learn Math, Science and IH in English.
13. S6 Spiritual Class	0	8	2	90%	Sept 2016 - Aug 2017	1620	QuestionnaireTeachers' observation		
14. S6 Spiritual Education Program	2	6	1	90%	Sept 2016 - Feb 2017	2040	Attendance recordTeachers' observation	NA	
15. St. John First Aid Classes	3	1	1	90%	July 2017	2074	Students' feedbackPassing Percentage	HK St.John Ambulance	
16. Painting Lesson	/	/	/	NA	NA	0	• NA	NA	Programme Cancelled

17. Chinese Literature Creative Writing Workshop	1	3	0	98%	June-July 2017	2120	•	Feedback from participants Course work of participants	Ms.Chiu Hiu Tung (writer, author)	
18. Culture Interflow- Taiwan Farm Experience Tour	4	3	6	100%	April 2017	13260	•	Questionnaire Teachers' observation	Travel Agent	
19. Culture Interflow- Taiwan Geography Field Trip	1	5	3	100%	April 2017	18750	•	Questionnaire Teachers' observation	Travel Agent	
20. Culture Interflow- English Korea Study Tour	3	9	4	100%	April 2017	28000	•	Questionnaire Teachers' observation	Travel Agent	
21. Poly U Maths & Science Competition fees	3	4	6	100%	Feb 2017	3510	•	Questionnaire Teachers' observation	Poly U	Ad hoc program
22. English Summer Remedial Class	7	25	4	100%	Aug 2017	3400	•	Students' result	Alumni	Ad hoc program
Total no. of activities: 22										
@No. of man-times	123	375	70		Total	199470				
**Total no. of man-times		568			Expenses -					

Note:

^{*} Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA(A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C). @ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

III. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Diagram 4 4 6 6 72	In	nproved		No	D 11 1	Not
Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students' motivation for learning		✓				
b) Students' study skills		✓				
c) Students' academic achievement		✓				
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom		✓				
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		√				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem		√				
g) Students' self-management skills		\checkmark				
h) Students' social skills		√				
i) Students' interpersonal skills		√				
j) Students' cooperativeness with others		√				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling		√				
1) Students' outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social		✓				
development						
Community Involvement	_					
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary		 				
activities						
o) Students' sense of belonging		✓				
p) Students' understanding on the community		✓				
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement	t	\checkmark				

IV. Comments on the project conducted

You —	may tick more than one box)
	unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);
	difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
/	eligible students unwilling to join the programmes;
	the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
	tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
/	the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
	complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
/	the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
	and reporting requirements too complicated and time combining,
	Others (Please specify) you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they
) • (1)	Others (Please specify)
Do ;	Others (Please specify) you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they tisfied with the service provided? (optional) The students gain confidence when performing on stage. The students have improved the skills of playin